

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Department of Commerce United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMM SSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
work.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,187	08/26/2003	Nafea Bishara	MP0274	5250	
26200	7590 03/16/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			LANE, JOHN A		
P.O BOX 102 MINNEAPOI	2 LIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
3,220,13,22	,		2185		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/649,187	BISHARA, NAFEA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack A. Lane	2185				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	iaust 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
,	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ppilodion (i Te	· · v - /			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 2185

g 4

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to the application filed 08/26/2003. Claims 1-45 are presented for examination.

2. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, any documentation known to qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. sections 102 or 103 with respect to the invention as defined by the <u>independent(s)</u> claims. That is, any prior art (including any documentation used to develop the disclosed/claimed subject matter, background art and any products for sale) similar to the claimed invention that could reasonably be used in a 102 or 103 rejection. This request does not require a search. Support for this request is derived from 37 CFR 1.56 and 1.105, however, it is not intended to interfere with or go beyond that required under 37 CFR 1.56 or 1.105.

The request may be fulfilled by asking the attorney(s) of record handling prosecution and the inventor(s)/assignee for references qualifying as prior art. A simple statement that the query has been made and no prior art found is sufficient to fulfill the request. Otherwise, the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR section 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this request. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this request that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this

Art Unit: 2185

request and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this request are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR section 1.97.

In the event documentation (e.g. newly submitted/previously submitted on an IDS, incorporated by reference or "common knowledge" generally found in the background section but not a publication) is determined to qualify as prior art, a discussion of relevant passages, figs. etc. with respect to the claims must be provided. That is, for each **independent** claim limitation (including structural and functional limitations linking claim elements) identify a corresponding prior art element by page, line and/or fig. Since applicant is most knowledgeable of the present invention and submitted art, a discussion of the reference(s) with respect to the instant claims is essential.

In the present disclosure, the Background section identifies several prior art devices and/or systems. In response to this Office action, the examiner requests a discussion of which, if any, independent claim limitations (claims 1,16 and 31) correspond to prior art elements in the background documentation. Since applicant is most knowledgeable of the present invention and the background art, a discussion of the background art with respect to the instant claims is essential. That is, for each claim limitation (including structural and functional limitations linking claim elements) identify a corresponding prior art element by page, line, and/or fig.

The examiner also requests, in response to this Office action, a showing of support for the following: Correlation and identification of what structure, material, or acts set forth in the specification would be capable of carrying out the function recited in the means plus function limitations found in claims 31-45; All claim language (original independent claim(s) only) that does not have antecedent basis in the descriptive portion of the specification. That is, if support

Art Unit: 2185

for a claim limitation(s) resides solely in the original claims, such limitation must be identified; Claim language added to <u>any</u> present claims on amendment and any new claims. Indicate support for each claim limitation (including structural and functional limitations linking claim elements) by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s). in the specification and/or drawing figure(s) and the corresponding limitation. This request is derived from 37 CFR 1.105 and will assist in prosecuting the application.

When responding to the Office action, applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the reference(s) cited or the objections made. A showing of how the amendments avoid such references or objections must also be present. See 37 C.F.R. 1.111(c).

When responding to this Office action, applicant is advised to provide the line and page numbers in the application and/or reference(s) cited to assist in locating the appropriate paragraphs.

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete reply to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an

Art Unit: 2185

international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Milway (Pub. No.2005/0132244).

The claimed "network interface" corresponds to circuitry including that shown in figures 1 and 2. The claimed "frame including header and payload" corresponds to an Ethernet frame or IP packet having a protocol header, data to be transported and trailer (see [0042]). The claimed "alignment module" corresponds to circuitry including that shown in figures 1 and 2. The claimed "dummy portion" corresponds to unused and/or alignment bits shown in figure 4 and discussed in sections [0042]-[0055]. The claimed "memory" corresponds to the storage discussed in claims 5 and 6. The claimed "processor" corresponds to microprocessor 6. The claimed function of "dummy portion shifting...such that the first bit of the payload is aligned on a boundary" corresponds to shifting discussed in claims 5 and 6.

The examiner believes all dependent claim features not specifically discussed above are expressly or inherently taught by Milway. The remaining dependent claim features, while part of the invention, do not appear essential to the main invention found in the independent claims. Thus, a detailed discussion of claim feature(s) is not warranted at this time. Support for this line of reasoning is derived from 37 C.F.R. 1.105. 37 C.F.R. 1.105 permitting "stipulations as to facts" or "whether a dependent claim element is known in the prior art based on the examiner having a reasonable basis for believing so."

In the event applicant disagrees with the characterization of certain dependent claim elements as being "expressly or inherently" taught by the reference, applicant must specify

Art Unit: 2185

exactly what claim elements are considered "novel" or "allowable" and why they are allowable (e.g. the claim feature is not suggested/taught in the art of record).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant should review the prior art not relied upon for its relevance to the instant claims.

Gopalakrishnan et al. (6,507,901) teaches allocating storage for aligned data.

Shrimp et al. (3,916,388) teaches a shifting apparatus for aligning data.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for Official communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-4208, (for Non-Official or draft communications, please label "Non-Official" or "DRAFT")

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2185

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack A. Lane whose telephone number is 571 272-4208. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571 272-4210.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272-2100

PRIMARY EXAMINER